



Access to health care for undocumented migrant children and pregnant women: the paradox between values and attitudes of health care professionals.

<https://arctichealth.org/en/permalink/ahliterature126329>

Author: Mónica Ruiz-Casares  
Cécile Rousseau  
Audrey Laurin-Lamothe  
Joanna Anneke Rummens  
Phyllis Zelkowitz  
François Crépeau  
Nicolas Steinmetz

Author Affiliation: Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, Canada. [monica.ruizcasares@mcgill.ca](mailto:monica.ruizcasares@mcgill.ca)

Source: Matern Child Health J. 2013 Feb;17(2):292-8

Date: Feb-2013

Language: English

Publication Type: Article

Keywords: Adolescent  
Adult  
Attitude of Health Personnel  
Canada  
Child  
Female  
Health Care Surveys  
Health Policy  
Health Services - utilization  
Health Services Accessibility - statistics & numerical data  
Healthcare Disparities  
Human Rights  
Humans  
Middle Aged  
Pregnancy  
Pregnant Women  
Questionnaires  
Socioeconomic Factors  
Transients and Migrants - statistics & numerical data  
Young Adult

Abstract:

Access to health care for undocumented migrant children and pregnant women confronts human rights and professional values with political and institutional regulations that limit services. In order to understand how health care professionals deal with these diverging mandates, we assessed their attitudes toward providing care to this population. Clinicians, administrators, and support staff (n = 1,048) in hospitals and primary care centers of a large multiethnic city responded to an online survey about attitudes toward access to health care services. Analysis examined the role of personal and institutional correlates of these attitudes. Foreign-born respondents and those in primary care centers were more likely to assess the present access to care as a serious problem, and to endorse broad or full access to services, primarily based on human rights reasons. Clinicians were more likely than support staff to endorse full or broad access to health care services. Respondents who approved of restricted or no access also endorsed health as a basic human right (61.1%) and child development as a priority (68.6%). A wide gap separates attitudes toward entitlement to health care and the endorsement of principles stemming from human rights and the best interest of the child. Case-based discussions with professionals facing value dilemmas and training on children's rights are needed to promote equitable practices and advocacy against regulations limiting services.

PubMed ID:

22399247 [View in PubMed](#) 